

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
WASHINGTON COUNTY, OHIO**

**STATE OF OHIO, *ex rel.*
DAVID YOST
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND CO.,
*et al.***

Defendants.

Case No. 180T32

**JUDGE HOGAN
(Sitting by Assignment)**

DEPOSITION SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

TO: United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 3
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, and applicable authorities, you are commanded to produce **ROGER REINHART**, Region 3, Water Division/Safe Drinking Water, Program Manager, United States Environmental Protection Agency, to appear for a deposition with respect to all subjects relevant to this matter. The deposition will begin on Monday, December 21, 2020 at 9:00 AM Eastern Daylight Time, or at another day and time to be agreed upon by the parties. In addition, by December 16, 2020, you are commanded to produce all Documents¹ generated or reviewed by Mr. Reinhart related to per- and polyfluoroalkyl

¹ “Documents” shall be given its broadest interpretation, and includes (but is not limited to) everything which in the ordinary usage of litigation is a document, and includes any medium on

substances (“PFAS”) at the Washington Works facility, the manufacturing plant located in Wood County, West Virginia that was formerly operated by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and that is now owned and operated by The Chemours Company. The deposition shall be conducted remotely through video conferencing by oral examination before an officer authorized to administer oaths and to record depositions, and shall be recorded by stenographic and audiovisual (video) means. The deposition may be used for discovery purposes or introduced as evidence at the trial of this action.

which information can be recorded or from which information can be obtained. This includes (by way of illustration and not limitation): drafts and copies bearing notations or marks not found on the original; notes; calendars; memos; messages (including notes and memoranda of telephone conversations); photographs; drawings; audiotapes; videotapes; films; minutes; diaries; reports; computer records; tapes; discs; e-mail or other computer files of any kind; correspondence; records; and all other writings, recordings, or information sources of whatever description.

OHIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
Rule 45. Subpoena

(C) Protection of persons subject to subpoenas.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena.

(2) (a) A person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b), (iii), (iv), (v), or (vi) of this rule need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to attend and give testimony at a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(b) Subject to division (D)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b), (iii), (iv), (v), or (vi) of this rule may, within fourteen days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than fourteen days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objections to production. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to production except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, may move at any time for an order to compel the production. An order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the production commanded.

(3) On timely motion, the court from which the subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena, or order appearance or production only under specified conditions, if the subpoena does any of the following:

(a) Fails to allow reasonable time to comply;

(b) Requires disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected matter and no exception or waiver applies;

(c) Requires disclosure of a fact known or opinion held by an expert not retained or specially employed by any party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial as described by Civ.R. 26(B)(5), if the fact or opinion does not describe specific events or occurrences in dispute and results from study by that expert that was not made at the request of any party;

(d) Subjects a person to undue burden.

(4) Before filing a motion pursuant to division (C)(3)(d) of this rule, a person resisting discovery under this rule shall attempt to resolve any claim of undue burden through discussions with the issuing attorney. A motion filed pursuant to division (C)(3)(d) of this rule shall be supported by an affidavit of the subpoenaed person or a certificate of that person's attorney of the efforts made to resolve any claim of undue burden.

(5) If a motion is made under division (C)(3)(c) or (C)(3)(d) of this rule, the court shall quash or modify the subpoena unless the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated.

(D) Duties in responding to subpoena.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall, at the person's option, produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond with the categories

in the subpoena. A person producing documents or electronically stored information pursuant to a subpoena for them shall permit their inspection and copying by all parties present at the time and place set in the subpoena for inspection and copying.

(2) If a request does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena may produce the information in a form or forms in which the information is ordinarily maintained if that form is reasonably useable, or in any form that is reasonably useable. Unless ordered by the court or agreed to by the person subpoenaed, a person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(3) A person need not provide discovery of electronically stored information when the production imposes undue burden or expense. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person from whom electronically stored information is sought must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or expense. If a showing of undue burden or expense is made, the court may nonetheless order production of electronically stored information if the requesting party shows good cause. The court shall consider the factors in Civ. R. 26(B)(4) when determining if good cause exists. In ordering production of electronically stored information, the court may specify the format, extent, timing, allocation of expenses and other conditions for the discovery of the electronically stored information.

(4) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(5) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies within the party's possession, custody or control. A party may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim of privilege or of protection as trial preparation material. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

DATED: December 8, 2020

/s/ Marques P.S. Richeson

Marques P.D. Richeson (0094050)
SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LLP
4900 Key Tower
127 Public Square
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 479-8500 (Phone)
(216) 479-8780 (Fax)

/s/ Lanny S. Kurzweil

*Lanny S. Kurzweil
McCarter & English, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 639-2044

/s/ Natalie S. Watson

*Natalie S. Watson
McCarter & English, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 848-5357

**Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

*Counsel for Defendants E. I. du Pont de
Nemours and Company and The Chemours
Company (except as to fraudulent transfer
claims)*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via email in accordance with Civ. R.

5(B)(2)(f) on this 8th day of December 2020 on all counsel of record.

William J. Jackson
John D.S. Gilmour
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
515 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 900
Houston, Texas 77027
bjackson@kelleydrye.com
jgilmour@kelleydrye.com

David Zalman
David M. Reap
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178
dzalman@kelleydrye.com
dreap@kelleydrye.com

Melissa E. Byroade
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
3050 K Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20007
mbyroade@kelleydrye.com

Bill Markovits
Zachary Schaengold
MARKOVITS, STOCK & DEMARCO, LLC
3825 Edwards Road, Suite 650
Cincinnati, Ohio 45209
bmarkovits@msdlegal.com
zschaengold@msdlegal.com

Robert A. Bilott
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
425 Walnut Street, Suite 1800
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
bilott@taftlaw.com

David J. Butler
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, Ohio 43215
dbutler@taftlaw.com

Gary J. Douglas
Michael A. London
Rebecca G. Newman
Douglas & London, P.C.
59 Maiden Lane – 6th Floor
New York, NY 10038
gdouglas@douglasandlondon.com
mlondon@douglasandlondon.com
rnewman@douglasandlondon.com

Kevin Madonna
Kennedy & Madonna, LLP
48 Dewitt Mills Road
Hurley, NY 12443
kmadonna@kennedymadonna.com

Ned McWilliams
Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor P.A.
316 South Baylen Street
Pensacola, FL 32502
nmcwiliams@levinlaw.com

Richard Head
SL Environmental Law Group
201 Filbert Street, Suite 401
San Francisco, CA 94133
rhead@slenvironment.com

/s/ Marques P.D. Richeson

One of the Attorneys for Defendants E. I. du Pont
de Nemours and Company and The Chemours
Company